



February 18, 2021

The Honorable Nancy Skinner
Chair, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
State Capitol, Room 5019
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Phil Ting,
Chair, Assembly Committee on Budget
State Capitol, Room 6026
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: 2021-22 State General Fund Support for Public Health Care Systems

Dear Chair Skinner and Chair Ting:

On behalf of California's 21 public health care systems and the millions of patients they serve, **I am writing to urge you to allocate \$300 million in one-time funding for public health care systems in the 2021-22 State Budget to support critical care delivery needs during and beyond the pandemic.** Public health care systems appreciate the state's ongoing leadership in responding to the COVID-19 crisis, working closely with hospitals, counties, and other partners, as we overcome the dramatic surge of case rates and hospitalizations and undertake the enormous opportunity – and pressure – to administer vaccines as quickly and effectively as possible. As we continue our pandemic response efforts, we urge the prioritization of additional funding for public health care systems, which we believe is critical to ensure we can effectively meet COVID-19 related demands and preserve vital infrastructure beyond the pandemic.

We have become increasingly concerned that public health care systems cannot sustain their robust, community-wide response efforts, while also continuing to provide hospital care to the state's most vulnerable patients, including nearly 40% of the state's uninsured and over 35% of Medi-Cal patients in their communities – numbers that will likely only increase as this crisis persists and as our state recovers. Even as we pursue all possible sources of support at the federal and state level, significant funding gaps for public health care systems remain and could worsen during the upcoming fiscal year.

To meet these needs, we are seeking a direct one-time investment of \$300 million in State General Fund for public health care systems to offset unreimbursed costs associated with the increase in Medi-Cal fee-for-service (FFS) COVID-19 patients, described in further detail below.

Background

As you know, California's public health care systems are the core of the state's health care safety net, delivering high-quality care to more than 2.85 million patients annually, regardless of ability to pay or insurance status. Most patients seen in public health care systems are either Medi-Cal beneficiaries or remain uninsured. These systems also operate over half of the state's top-level trauma and burn centers, and train half of all physicians in the state.

Public Health Care Systems' COVID-19 Response Efforts

As noted above, since the start of the pandemic, public health care systems, which include county-owned and -affiliated facilities, as well as the University of California (UC) medical centers, have played an integral role in the public health response effort. Working alongside their local public health departments and emergency personnel, and in many cases directly with the California Department of Public Health, public health care systems have executed a swift, thorough, and effective response to the pandemic. Some key response areas are highlighted below:

- Surge capacity: Public health care systems have dramatically increased their surge capacity, overhauling their operations to respond to a record increase in hospitalizations. They have found ways to free-up space within their facilities by converting non-clinical spaces into care settings, building mobile field tents, and expanding operations to additional vacant or unused buildings, including convention centers and other spaces. Expanding system capacity has corresponded with significant increases in costs including those related to increased staffing, supplies and protective equipment.
- Vaccines: Public health care systems are playing a vital role in vaccine development and distribution. The UC medical centers participated in all phase three trials for the Pfizer and Moderna vaccines, and all of our systems have been essential in the local planning, distribution, and administration efforts. With vaccine distribution now underway, public health care systems are working closely with county partners to prioritize high-risk populations, set up community sites, and assess additional outreach efforts. Similar to their testing strategy, public health care systems' vaccination efforts are extending beyond their patients to their broader local communities.
- Testing: Even while managing the surge and playing a key role in vaccinations, public health care systems are seeking to maintain their testing capabilities. In many instances, in coordination with their local public health department, they are providing the majority of testing in their communities. Many systems have worked extraordinarily quickly to develop new technologies and bring in-house testing online, with turnaround times of less than 24 hours. Public health care systems were also some of the first sites in California or in their community to offer drive-through testing.

Serving Vulnerable Populations and Communities of Color

Public health care systems' mission and mandate to serve everyone, regardless of ability to pay or other circumstance, has never been more visible or important than during this pandemic. As noted, these systems are caring for many of the state's most vulnerable patients, including low-income essential frontline workers, immigrants and communities of color, and those with other complex social and medical needs. Some of their work in this area includes:

- Outreach to immigrant communities: Many public health care systems have implemented specific outreach plans that target especially high-risk populations to provide testing, health services, and education. For example, Natividad Medical Center in Monterey County visited local farms to provide testing for immigrant workers, train the workers on mask wearing and social distancing, offer onsite health services, and provide assistance with signing up for nutrition support and accessing CalFresh.
- Supporting nursing home workers and patients: Public health care systems also worked closely with nursing homes as part of local outreach teams. Together, these teams have provided training on the use of personal protective equipment, infection procedures, and assistance with operational needs to support staff and patients in preventing infection and minimizing potential spread.

- **Homelessness:** As core safety net providers and lead entities of Whole Person Care (WPC) pilots, public health care systems are working closely with their county partners, community-based organizations, and social service agencies, among others, to prioritize care for the homeless population during the COVID-19 crisis. For example, WPC pilots are partnering with local hotels to secure space for COVID-positive patients who are living without a home, and persons who are especially vulnerable due to their age, or comorbid conditions, and need to be relocated from shelters and encampments. In coordination with their counties and local housing partners, pilots are also working to secure longer-term supportive housing for these patients. We are hopeful that the additional Project Homekey opportunities proposed in the budget may further support these efforts.

Insufficient Federal COVID-19 Funding

Public health care systems are leveraging all available COVID-19 federal funding sources, including federal Provider Relief Funds, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) support, allocations for health care providers under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, and emergency Medicaid 1115 waivers. However, given the magnitude of their experience, even when we total up these funding sources, critical gaps remain and fall short of enabling public health care systems to maintain essential services and fulfill their essential community role.

State General Fund Support for COVID-19 Medi-Cal FFS Patients

We appreciate the state's leadership and swift action over the last year to respond to this pandemic and invest critical resources when needed. **As our collective response efforts persist, public health care systems request a one-time allocation of \$300 million in State General Fund to offset costs associated with the increase in Medi-Cal FFS COVID-19 patients.**

Public health care systems experienced a dramatic increase in the number of Medi-Cal patients requiring COVID-19 hospitalization, particularly those requiring care in intensive care units. According to state reports, this has been especially true for Medi-Cal FFS patients which include uninsured individuals that sign up for coverage while getting care (presumptive eligibility), undocumented individuals with limited scope Medi-Cal coverage and other populations that are carved out of managed care. For Medi-Cal FFS patients, which comprise roughly 30% of public health care systems' hospitalizations, public health care systems receive no state General Fund; they must provide the entire non-federal share. Yet, the federal portion only covers roughly 65% of public health care systems' costs. As a result, as public health care systems' COVID-19 hospitalizations rise, so do their unreimbursed costs. At the same time, due to federal rules, public health care systems cannot claim other federal funds like the Provider Relief Fund to offset these – a financing challenging that no other types of hospitals share. State funding would be used to help offset these costs and support public health care systems' efforts to continue providing much-needed services for Medi-Cal FFS patients with COVID-19.

Financial Challenges Beyond the Pandemic

Even prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, public health care systems were facing extraordinary financial threats and uncertainty. As described above, they self-finance a significant portion of the care they provide to their Medi-Cal patients – an increasingly challenging and unsustainable model for public health care systems to maintain, as it creates an inherent deficit in which the costs of providing care can never be fully covered. Beyond pandemic-related costs, public health care systems have concerns over their longer-term structural financing challenges, and we look forward to continuing to work with you to address these challenges.

Conclusion

Public health care systems remain committed, more than ever, to provide high quality care and effectively serve their communities, including California’s most vulnerable patients. We urge your support to provide critical resources at this time and appreciate your commitment to maintaining a public health care safety net during COVID-19 and beyond.

Thank you for your consideration. We would be pleased to discuss our position with you and answer any questions you may have. Please contact Kelly Brooks-Lindsey, our Sacramento representative, at 916-272-0011 if you would like more information.

Sincerely,



Erica B. Murray
President and CEO
California Association of Public Hospitals and
Health Systems



Graham Knaus
Executive Director
California State Association of Counties



Tia Orr
Director of Government Relations
California State Council of the Service
Employees Union
(SEIU California)



Jean Kinney Hurst
Legislative Advocate
Urban Counties of California

cc: The Honorable Members of Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
The Honorable Members of the Assembly Committee on Budget
Ana Matosantos, Cabinet Secretary, Office of the Governor
Richard Figueroa, Deputy Cabinet Secretary, Office of the Governor
Tam Ma, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor
Mark Ghaly, MD, Secretary, Health and Human Services Agency

Keely Bosler, Director, Department of Finance
Will Lightbourne, Director, Department of Health Care Services
Jacey Cooper, State Medicaid Director & Chief Deputy Director, Department of Health Care Services
Daphne Hunt, Deputy Secretary of Legislative Affairs, California Health and Human Services Agency
Chris Woods, Budget Director, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Toni Atkins
Jason Sisney, Consultant, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Marjorie Swartz, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Toni Atkins
Agnes Lee, Policy Consultant, Office of Assembly Speaker Rendon
Scott Ogus, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Subcommittee No. 3
Andrea Margolis, Consultant, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 1
Kirk Feely, Fiscal Director, Senate Republican Caucus
Joe Shinstock, Budget Director, Assembly Republican Caucus
Joe Parra, Consultant, Senate Republican Caucus
Anthony Archie, Consultant, Senate Republican Caucus
Kelly Brooks-Lindsey, Hurst Brooks Espinosa